

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,478	02/07/2001	Carlo Amalfitano	2479.2002-001	4704
21005	7590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			TSEGAYE, SABA	
	530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD,	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133		2662	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/778,478	AMALFITANO, CARLO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Saba Tsegaye	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 April 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-24 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 04/25/05. Claims 1 and 3-24 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 4-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hou et al. (US 6,324,184) hereafter Hou in view of Spinney et al. (US 6,426,943) hereafter Spinney.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Hou discloses a method for providing multiple grades ("weighing factor"; see col. 11 lines 10-31) of service in a demand access wireless communication system, in which identifying a priority level of a user requesting allocation of bandwidth for transmitting data information to a base station depending on whether a previous historical usage of resources by that user exceeds a threshold, such that, if the previous historical usage by the user is lower than the threshold, the user is assigned a higher priority level for transmitting data information, the higher priority level entitling the user use of more channels than otherwise allowed when a lower priority level is assigned and allocating bandwidth to the user depending upon the corresponding priority level so identified (The MAC management entity may maintain a historical record of bandwidth usage for each user. Then, users who have relatively low usage levels may be given higher priority when requesting a bandwidth level that might otherwise be limited; for example see col. 11 lines 50-60). The MAC allocates data bandwidth on channels according to the type of service. Further, Hou discloses that there may be a concern that the dynamic bandwidth allocation scheme never reduces the

assigned bandwidth of a user when the user continually uses all of its assigned bandwidth. In this case some of the bandwidth assignment of user in question can be redistributed to other users who use all or most of their assigned bandwidth (column 11, lines 36-46). However, Hou does not expressly disclose that if the previous historical usage by the user is higher than the threshold, the user is assigned a lower priority level for transmitting data information.

Page 3

Spinney teaches a data communication switch that tracks a number of data bytes processed by the switch for a particular data flow and determines if the numbers of bytes indicate that the flow is part of a bulk transfer. If so, the switch automatically lowers the priority of the data flow by placing packets associated with the flow on a lower priority queue (see Fig. 44; col. 29, lines 5-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hou's apparatus to assign a user a lower priority level for transmitting data if historical usage by the user is higher than a threshold, as taught by Spinney. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Hou discloses on column 11, lines 37-60 "adjusting an assigned bandwidth based on a subscriber unit bandwidth usage history", therefore combing "assigning a user a lower priority level for transmitting data information" with "adjusting an assigned bandwidth based on a subscriber unit bandwidth usage history" would optimize channel usage in a communication network by tailoring the assigned bandwidth to actual user requirement and provide bandwidth fairness between multiple priority levels, as explained by Hou's on column 11, lines 60-64.

Regarding, "a lower priority level entitling the user to use of fewer channels than otherwise allowed when a higher priority level is assigned", as stated above, Hou discloses that

the MAC allocates data bandwidth on channels according to the type of service. The higher priority level entitles the user to use more channels (column 11, lines 50-60).

Spinney teaches that the lower priority queue is associated with a second quality service queue that provides **for less frequent transmission** (such that high priority data is transmitted more frequently than low priority data) (column 30, lines 62-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hou's apparatus to assign a lower priority level that entitles the user fewer channels than otherwise allowed when a higher priority level is assigned, as taught by Spinney. The motivation/suggestion for doing so would have been that Hou discloses "adjusting the assigned bandwidth of the particular subscriber unit according to the traffic count" therefore combing "the lower priority level entitling the user to use of fewer channels" with "adjusting the assigned bandwidth" would optimize channel usage in a communication network by tailoring the assigned bandwidth to actual user requirements, as explained by Hou's on column 11, lines 60-64.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Hou discloses a method wherein the priority level for transmitting data defines a maximum continuous allocation of resources entitled to the user to transmit data information from a subscriber unit to the base station over multiple assigned traffic channels of the wireless communication system (col. 2, lines 52-56).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Hou discloses all the claim limitations as stated above.

Further, Hou discloses that it is possible to use a timing mechanism. Furthermore, Hou discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/778,478

Art Unit: 2662

that assigned bandwidth may be based on a subscriber unit bandwidth usage history, time of day, or other factors. However, Hou does not expressly disclose: a) detecting whether a time limit for allocated channels has been exceeded for a continuous transmission of data; and b) decreasing the priority level of a field unit to a lower level.

Page 5

- a) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a method that detects whether a time limit for previously assigned channels has been exceeded for a continuous transmission of data. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would optimize bandwidth utilization and maintain a minimum bandwidth for each subscriber unit. It would provide a fair communication system to all users.
- b) Spinney teaches that if a data byte count is greater than the threshold value, the data flow is reassigned to a lower priority queue (see Fig. 44; col. 29, lines 5-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that assigns a lower priority level if historical usage by user is higher than the threshold, such as that suggested by Spinney, to the dynamic bandwidth allocation scheme of Hou in order to ensure fair and proportional bandwidth allocation between multiple priority level. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would optimize bandwidth channel usage in communication network by tailoring the assigned bandwidth to actual user requirements.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Hou discloses a method wherein a user is allocated resources depending on a cumulative amount of data information previously transferred from a subscriber unit to a base station (column 10, lines 25-41).

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Hou discloses a method wherein the threshold defines a cumulative amount of data information that a user can transmit over specified period of time without being a lower priority level (column 9, lines 45-60).

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Hou discloses that the thresholds are adjustable.

Regarding claims 10 and 17, Hou discloses that the MAC management entity maintains a historical record of bandwidth usage for each user. Furthermore, Hou discloses that assigned bandwidth may be based on a subscriber unit bandwidth usage history, time of day, or other factors. However, Hou does not expressly disclose that the historical usage of resources is determined by comparing usage over a period of at least several past days.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a method that maintains a record for at least past days. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it is an efficient way to know how often subscribers accesses the communication system, so to ensure fair and proportional bandwidth allocation.

Regarding claims 18-24, Hou in view of spinney discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Hou discloses that the management entity may be implemented in hardware and /or software.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use software-based machines. The benefit using computer-usable medium device is that programs can be changed and upgraded and new futures are added easily than hardware changes.

3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hou et al. in view of Spinney et al. (US 6,426,943) hereafter Spinney and Honkasalo et al. (US 6,101,176) hereafter Honkasalo.

Regarding claim 1, Hou discloses a method of providing multiple grades of wireless service to multiple field users for communication of data between a base station and multiple subscriber units (figure 5) over one or more CDMA communication channels, each grade of service having a corresponding priority level ("weighting factor"; see col. 11 lines 10-31);

Hou discloses reserving a bandwidth and dividing the bandwidth into a plurality of channels (central controller 210 allocates bandwidth on the transmission path 220 to manage communications between the subscriber units and the central controller. Path 220' may comprise one or more channels shared among the subscriber units; for example see col. 3 lines 62-67);

Hou discloses maintaining a connection between multiple subscriber units and the base station (See figure 5; The system maintains a minimum bandwidth for each subscriber unit; and maintains a count of the number of active users on each channel; for example see col. 8 lines 7-14 and col. 9 lines 1-7);

Hou discloses detecting a request by multiple field units to simultaneously transmit data to the base station (The MAC provides for collision detection and contention access, where users are requesting access to the same slot at the same time; see col. 6 lines 32-67 and col. 7 lines 1-5);

Hou discloses identifying a priority level of a user requesting allocation of bandwidth for transmitting data information to the base station depending on whether a previous historical usage of resources by the user exceeds a threshold (The MAC management entity may maintain a historical record of bandwidth usage for each user and the MAC management entity may further allocate bandwidth according to historical profile of total channel bandwidth usage), such that:

if the previous historical usage by the user is lower than the threshold, the user is assigned a higher priority level for transmitting data information, the higher priority level entitling the user use of more channels than otherwise allowed when a lower priority level is

assigned (The MAC management entity may maintain a historical record of bandwidth usage for each user. Then, users who have relatively low usage levels may be given higher priority when requesting a bandwidth level that might otherwise be limited; for example see col. 11 lines 50-60) and;

Hou discloses assigning the channels for communication between the base station and subscriber units based on the corresponding priority level of requesting field units so identified, (The MAC management entity may maintain a historical record of bandwidth usage for each user. Then, users who have relatively low usage levels may be given higher priority when requesting a bandwidth level that might otherwise be limited; for example see col. 11 lines 50-60).

Hou discloses that the MAC management entity allocates bandwidth according to a historical profile of total channel bandwidth usage. However, Hou does not expressly disclose that if the historical usage by the user is higher than the threshold, the user is assigned a lower priority level.

Spinney teaches a data communication switch that tracks a number of data bytes processed by the switch for a particular data flow and determines if the numbers of bytes indicate that the flow is part of a bulk transfer. If so, the switch automatically lowers the priority of the data flow by placing packets associated with the flow on a lower priority queue (see Fig. 44; col. 29, lines 5-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hou's apparatus to assign a lower priority level for transmitting data if historical usage by user is higher than the threshold, as taught by Spinney. The

suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Hou discloses on column 11, lines 37-60 "adjusting an assigned bandwidth based on a subscriber unit bandwidth usage history", therefore combing "assigning a user a lower priority level for transmitting data information" with "adjusting an assigned bandwidth based on a subscriber unit bandwidth usage history" would optimize channel usage in a communication network by tailoring the assigned bandwidth to actual user requirement and provide bandwidth fairness between multiple priority levels, as explained by Hou's on column 11, lines 60-64.

Regarding, "a lower priority level entitling the user to use of fewer channels than otherwise allowed when a higher priority level is assigned", as stated above, Hou discloses that the MAC allocates data bandwidth on channels according to the type of service. The higher priority level entitles the user to use more channels (column 11, lines 50-60).

Spinney teaches that the lower priority queue is associated with a second quality service queue that provides **for less frequent transmission** (such that high priority data is transmitted more frequently than low priority data) (column 30, lines 62-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hou's apparatus to assign a lower priority level that entitles the user fewer channels than otherwise allowed when a higher priority level is assigned, as taught by Spinney. The motivation/suggestion for doing so would have been that Hou discloses "adjusting the assigned bandwidth of the particular subscriber unit according to the traffic count" therefore combing "the lower priority level entitling the user to use of fewer channels" with "adjusting the assigned bandwidth" would optimize channel usage in a communication network by tailoring the

assigned bandwidth to actual user requirements, as explained by Hou's on column 11, lines 60-64.

Hou and Spinney discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Hou discloses the capability for a wireless network adaptation (col. 3 lines 55-57), but fails to expressly disclose where the communication is a CDMA communication and that the central controller is a base station and the subscriber units are units that are able to communicate wirelessly over CDMA channels.

Honkasalo discloses a CDMA based cellular network that provides a wireless connection to the subscribers for voice and data (figure 7 shows a base station 316 with multiple mobile subscribers in the building 300: for example see col. 6 lines 59-67 and col. 26 lines 1-7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hou's apparatus to utilize a CDMA cellular system with the base station as a central controller communicating to the subscribers through a wireless medium, as taught by Honkasalo. The motivation is that CDMA provides a wireless system that provides a relatively greater bandwidth capacity than other wireless systems. This fits the needs of increased applications for wireless data transmission, such as facsimiles and Internet access, and video transmission, as explained by Honkasalo on column 1, lines 20-30 and column 2, lines 10-25.

Regarding claim 3, Hou discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Hou discloses that it is possible to use a timing mechanism. Furthermore, Hou discloses that assigned bandwidth may be based on a subscriber unit bandwidth usage history, time of day, or other factors. However, Hou does not expressly disclose: a) detecting whether a time limit for

Application/Control Number: 09/778,478 Page 12

Art Unit: 2662

allocated channels has been exceeded for a continuous transmission of data; and b) decreasing

the priority level of a field unit to a lower level.

a) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to use a method that detects whether a time limit for previously assigned channels has

been exceeded for a continuous transmission of data. One of ordinary skill in the art would have

been motivated to do this because it would optimize bandwidth utilization and maintain a

minimum bandwidth for each subscriber unit. It would provide a fair communication system to

all users.

b) Spinney teaches that if a data byte count is greater than the threshold value, the data

flow is reassigned to a lower priority queue (see Fig. 44; col. 29, lines 5-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to add a method that assigns a lower priority level if historical usage by user is higher

than the threshold, such as that suggested by Spinney, to the dynamic bandwidth allocation

scheme of Hou in order to ensure fair and proportional bandwidth allocation between multiple

priority level. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it

would optimize bandwidth channel usage in communication network by tailoring the assigned

bandwidth to actual user requirements.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-24 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST July 21, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINES